IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.141 OF 2007
IN
CRI.APPEAL NO. 146 OF 2007
IN
SESSIONS CASE NO. 798 of 2006

Ramu Kashinath Yadav/Harijan ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

...

Mr.Rakesh V.Tiwari counsel for Applicant
Mr.D.P.Adsule A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : MARCH 7, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant has been convicted under Section 307 of IPC. The applicant is now seeking bail. It is the prosecution case that the applicant assaulted the injured P.W.1 Mrs.Renu Yadav.

3. Mr.Tiwari, the learned advocate appearing for the applicant states that the applicant was on bail throughout the trial and he has not misused the

liberty granted to him. This statement is not controverted by the prosecution. It is seen that the injured has not supported the prosecution. Moreover, there is no other eye witness to the actual assault on P.W.1 Mrs.Renu Yadav.

4. Looking to the evidence on record and the above position, I am inclined to release the applicant on bail.

5. The applicant to be released on bail in the sum of Rs.25,000/-/- (Rs.Twenty Five thousand only) with one or two sureties to make up the said amount. The applicant shall report to Pantnagar Police Station, Ghatkopar, Mumbai once in a week.

6. Application is disposed of.

[V.K.Tahilramani, J.]